

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CO/IMISSONER FOR PATENTS (P.O. Box 1940 Alchander, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/804,244	03/13/2001	Motoyuki Kato	G5030.0027/P027	9167	
24998 75	590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
DICKSTEIN	SHAPIRO MORIN & O	RUTTEN, JAMES D			
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
washington, D	20037		2192	·	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/804,244	KATO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		J. Derek Rutten	2192	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1		ess
Period fo	or Reply			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 O	ctober 2005.		
• —		action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5,11-13 and 15-19</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,11-13 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to	oy the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
-7.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been	received in this National Sta	age
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmen			(DTO 440)	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's amendment dated 25 October 2005, responding to the 26 July 2004 Office action provided in the rejection of claims 1-5, 11-13, and 15-19, wherein claims 1 and 2 have been amended. Claims 1-5, 11-13, and 15-19 remain pending in the application and have been fully considered by the examiner.

# Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant essentially argues (see page 7, third block of text) that Tock (U.S. Patent 5,815,718) does not disclose, teach or suggest the act of "storing result data of said resolved reference linking to said program in a code entry representing an instruction to be executed when the program is executed." New claim language appears to create issues regarding U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as discussed below. Therefore, this argument is moot.
- 3. It is noted that Applicant's arguments (page 7) regarding the Tock reference continue to focus on the "non-quick" format of the instructions, which provide symbolic references that require subsequent resolution. However, as cited below and in the previous Office action, Tock also discloses a "quick" format that provides a direct reference to the method. This quick format therefor provides for the storage of resolved reference data.
- 4. Applicant argues (See page 7, bottom of third block of text) that Tock fails to disclose "at least one link to another code entry associated with the instruction". This argument is convincing. However, after further consideration, a new rejection is made in view of the

"Background of the Invention" section appearing on pages 1-8 of the originally filed specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-5, 11-13, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claim 1 recites: "storing result data of said resolved reference linking to said program in a code entry representing an instruction to be executed when the program is executed" (emphasis added). Use of the word "representing" in the amended claim requires interpretation of the "code entry" to refer to the "assembler statement" as depicted in FIG. 2, element 10. Code entries appearing in the constant table can not represent instructions, since they are representations of data. However, this raises issues with 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph since there does not appear to be support for the storage of result data in such an assembler statement as appearing in lines 8 and 9 of claim 1. Rather, the resolved references appear to be stored in the constant pool itself, as depicted in FIG. 2, and as described in the originally filed specification on page 15 lines 12-19. Note the third box in each on constant pool

Art Unit: 2192

entries 1 and 6 which correspond to "RESOLVED CLASS TABLE INDEX" and "RESOLVED FIELD TABLE INDEX", respectively. These constant pool entries do not represent instructions, but rather represent data.

Independent claim 2 contains limitations that are similar to claim 1, and is rejected for the reasons as set forth above. Claims 3-5, 11-13, and 15-19 are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5, 11-13, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art of record U.S. Patent 5,815,718 to Tock (hereinafter referred to as "Tock") in view of prior art of record U.S. Patent 6,338,160 to Patel et al. (hereinafter "Patel") in view of the "Background of the Invention" section appearing on pages 1-8 of the originally filed specification (hereinafter "BOTI").

As per claim 1, Tock discloses:

A program executing method to execute a program written in an interpreter language - See Abstract, lines 1-5:

Application/Control Number: 09/804,244

Art Unit: 2192

A method and system for providing an executable module having an address space for storing program data that is to reside in a read-only storage medium and an address space for storing program data that is to reside in a random access memory is herein described.

comprising the steps of:

extracting reference data comprising a first and second reference data, said reference data is used for specifying a location to be accessed in a memory, and resolving a reference using said reference data – See column 5 lines 56-60:

The object module is then transmitted to the linker 136 which generates a memory layout for the classes in the application. Once the memory layout is determined, the linker 136 resolves all symbolic references and replaces them with direct addresses.

said first reference data comprising ... a resolved class related reference data and said second reference data comprising a resolved field related reference data – See column 7 lines 45-52:

A first entry contains the name of the class and the name of the superclass 502. These names are stored as string constants and the first entry contains pointers to the locations of these strings in the constant pool. The next entry pertains to the fields or instance variables. A header 504 is used to denote the number of fields in the constant pool. The various fields 506 follow the header.

Further, see element 636 in FIG. 6, which shows an index into a resolved field block implemented using a pointer.

storing result data of said resolved reference linking to said program in a code entry representing—<specified by> an instruction to be executed when the program is executed, ..., said two steps of extracting and storing being executed before said program is executed. Newly amended claim language has replaced the phrase "the code entry specified by the instruction" with "a code entry representing an instruction". However, as indicated above, this language is not supported by the originally filed specification.

Therefore, the previous language "specified by" is used to provide an interpretation of the claim. See column 3 lines 19-22, column 5 lines 58-60, 65-67, and column 8 lines 8-13:

A browser partitioned in this manner can be initially stored in the read-only memory of the client computer. When the system powers on, the second address space is preloaded into the RAM.

...

Once the memory layout is determined, the linker 136 resolves all symbolic references and replaces them with direct addresses.

. . .

The output from the linker 136 is a preloadable executable module 306 containing the methods and data for these two address spaces.

. . .

Once the linker has determined the memory layout for the classes, the linker replaces the non-quick format of the invoke method instruction by the quick format which directly references the method (i.e., by storing the method's address). By resolving the symbolic reference, the method can be preloaded.

<u>Comment</u>: The executable module output from the linker contains the result data of the resolved reference. A code entry specified by an instruction that previously held a symbolic reference would then contain result data of a resolved reference. This module is executed only after the steps of extracting, resolving, and storing as referenced above.); and

specifying a location in said memory to be accessed based on said result data of said resolved reference linking to said program through said reference data, when said program is executed which requires access to said memory – See column 2 lines 7-11:

By executing a large portion of the browser from read-only memory, the browser has additional RAM storage to store information-content and executable modules that it can obtain from other server computers that the client is in communication with.

<u>Comment</u>: In this passage, Tock executes an application built using the result data obtained via the above mentioned steps including resolving references using reference data to produce result data. Execution inherently involves specifying memory locations otherwise the processor would not be able to obtain required data.).

Tock further utilizes the general concept of an index. See FIG. 6 elements 636 which index field block entries in element 614. Tock does not expressly disclose an index to a resolved class related reference data, a second reference data that comprises an index to a resolved field related reference data, or a link to another code entry.

However, in an analogous environment, BOTI teaches that an index to resolved class related reference data provides access to class data. See page 5 lines 25-26:

The index to a class table is attached to the class data in the aforesaid class table for the class which contains the aforesaid variable.

BOTI further teaches that a link to another code entry provides a means for data resolution. See page 2 lines 27-30:

By following the links between the various sets of code data in order, starting from the first entry, we can obtain a character string representing the name of the class, the variable, and the type of variable that were described to the source program

Also, in an analogous environment, Patel teaches that data in a constant pool can be used as an index to a resolved field related reference data. See column 7 line 64 – column 8 line 1:

If the bytecode does reference a constant pool, in step 124, data is obtained from the specific data structure, i.e. the constant pool, including data from a resolution data field. The data from the resolution data field is used as an index to a jump table.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to: use BOTI's teaching of class indexes in order to provide access to class information; use BOTI's teaching of links to code entries in order to provide fully resolvable data; and use Patel's index with Tock's second reference data in order to use an index to access data stored in a table.

As per claim 2, Tock discloses:

An information processing device provided with a program written in an interpreter language – See column 2 lines 53-55:

> An application developed in the Java programming language is executed on such a client computer.

comprising:

a storing means to store result data of a resolved reference linking to said program in a code data entry representing <specified by> an instruction to be executed when the program is executed, wherein reference data used to obtain the result data comprises a first and second reference data, at least one of said reference data to specify a location in a memory to be accessed – See column 5 lines 56-60 and 65-67:

> The object module is then transmitted to the linker 136 which generates a memory layout for the classes in the application. Once the memory layout is determined, the linker 136 resolves all symbolic references and replaces them with direct addresses.

The output from the linker 136 is a preloadable executable module 306 containing the methods and data for these two address spaces.

Further, see FIG. 3 element 306: ROM/RAM; Comment: A code entry specified by an instruction that previously held a symbolic reference would contain result data of a resolved reference. The executable module contains the code entry and result data for specifying memory locations, and is stored as is shown in FIG. 3, in ROM and RAM.); wherein said first reference data is determined based on class data and said second reference data comprises an index value for one or more field data, - See column 7 lines 16-17:

...an array of one or more pointers 636, each pointer referencing a field block; Pointer 636 serves as an index into the field table. Further, see column 7 lines 45-52:

> A first entry contains the name of the class and the name of the superclass 502. These names are stored as string constants and the first entry contains pointers to the locations

of these strings in the constant pool. The next entry pertains to the fields or instance variables. A header 504 is used to denote the number of fields in the constant pool. The various fields 506 follow the header.

Page 9

a program executing means to execute said program, which specifies said location in said memory to be accessed based on said result data of said resolved reference linking to said program through said reference data, when said program is executed which requires access to said result data – Column 2 lines 53-55 cited above describes a program executing means.

Tock further utilizes the general concept of an index. See FIG. 6 elements 636 which index field block entries in element 614. Tock does not expressly disclose where the second reference data comprises an index to a resolved field related reference data. However, in an analogous environment, [US 6,338,130 B1] teaches that data in a constant pool can be used as an index to a resolved field related reference data. See column 7 line 64 – column 8 line 1:

If the bytecode does reference a constant pool, in step 124, data is obtained from the specific data structure, i.e. the constant pool, including data from a resolution data field. The data from the resolution data field is used as an index to a jump table.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Patel's index with Tock's second reference data. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to use an index to access data stored in a table. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 1.

As per claim 3, the above rejection of claim 2 is incorporated. Tock further discloses:

An information processing device according to claim 2, wherein said program comprises

an object program in byte code and data which represent the content of reference data linked to said program (column 3 lines 46-49; column 4 lines 25-29; column 5 lines 65-67), and

said program executing means stores said result data of said resolved reference in a link reference field provided for linking to said object program (column 9 lines 7-12).

As per claim 4, the above rejection of claim 3 is incorporated. Tock further discloses:

An information processing device according to claim 3, wherein said link information provided for linking to said object program contains code data of a number of fixed lengths (column 6 lines 22-26), and

said result data of said resolved reference is stored in a predetermined location determined by head code data (column 7 lines 47-49).

As per claim 5, the above rejection of claim 4 is incorporated. Tock further discloses:

An information processing device according to claim 4, wherein said object program and said link information are read out of a ROM at the time of executing said program (column 3 lines 19-20).

In regard to claim 11, the above rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Tock further discloses: wherein said reference data further comprises a third reference data (FIG. 6 element 634).

In regard to claim 12, the above rejection of claim 11 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 11.

In regard to claim 13, the above rejection of claim 12 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 11.

In regard to claim 15, the above rejection of claim 2 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 6.

In regard to claim 16, the above rejection of claim 2 is incorporated. Tock further discloses: a third reference data, said third reference data comprising a first data structure for storing character data and a second data structure for storing data indicating a position within said first data structure for storing character data (FIG. 6 elements 618 and 620).

As per claim 17, the above rejection of claim 2. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 11.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,244 Page 12

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 18, the above rejection of claim 3 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 4.

As per claim 19, the above rejection of claim 3 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 4.

## Conclusion

- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Derek Rutten whose telephone number is (571) 272-3703. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 6:00 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,244

Art Unit: 2192

Page 13

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jdr

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER